

AN INEQUALITY IN NONCOMMUTATIVE L_p -SPACES

ÉRIC RICARD

ABSTRACT. We prove that for any (trace-preserving) conditional expectation $\mathcal{E}$ on a noncommutative L_p with $p > 2$, $Id - \mathcal{E}$ is a contraction on the positive cone L_p^+ .

1. INTRODUCTION

It is plain that for positive real numbers $a, b \geq 0$ and $p \geq 2$, one has

$$(a - b)(a^{p-1} - b^{p-1}) \geq |a - b|^p.$$

Integrating the above inequality on some measure space (Ω, μ) implies that for $f, g \in L_p(\Omega, \mu)^+$,

$$\int_{\Omega} (f(x) - g(x))(f^{p-1}(x) - g^{p-1}(x)) d\mu(x) \geq \|f - g\|_p^p.$$

In [3], Mustapha Mokhtar-Kharroubi notices that this inequality may be used to get contractivity results on the positive cone of $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$. This note originates from the question whether its non-commutative analogue remains true. We provide a proof in the next section. We hope that the techniques involved there may be useful for further studies. We end up by making explicit some results from [3] for noncommutative L_p -spaces.

We refer the reader to [4] for the definitions of L_p -spaces associated to semifinite von Neumann algebras or more general ones. We also freely use basic results from [1].

2. RESULTS

Let $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be a semifinite von Neumann algebra. We denote by $f_p : \mathcal{M}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^+$, the p^{th} -power map and by $\mathcal{M}^{++}$ the set of positive invertible elements. We will often refer to positivity of the trace for the fact that if $a, b \in \mathcal{M}^+ \cap L_1(\mathcal{M})$, then $\tau(ab) \geq 0$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $p \geq 2$ and $a, b \in L_p(\mathcal{M})^+$, then*

$$\tau(|a - b|^p) \leq \tau((a - b)(a^{p-1} - b^{p-1})).$$

Proof. First, as for any sequence of (finite) projections p_i going to 1 strongly in $\mathcal{M}$ and any $x \in L_q(\mathcal{M})$ ($1 \leq q < \infty$) $\|p_i x p_i - x\|_q \rightarrow 0$, we may assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is finite. Next by replacing a and b by $a + \varepsilon 1$ and $a + \varepsilon 1$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, we may also assume that $[a, b] \subset \mathcal{M}^{++}$ to avoid any unnecessary technical complication.

We write $a = b + \delta$. To prove the result, we distinguish according to the values of p .

Case 1: $p \in [2, 3]$

Case 1.a: $a \geq b$, i.e. $\delta \geq 0$.

As $p - 1 = 1 + \theta$ with $\theta \in [0, 1]$, we use the well known integral formula

$$(1) \quad s^{1+\theta} = c_{\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{t^{\theta} s^2}{s+t} \frac{dt}{t}, \quad \frac{s^2}{s+t} = s - t + \frac{t^2}{s+t}.$$

Hence

$$\tau(\delta(a^{1+\theta} - b^{1+\theta})) = c_{\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} t^{\theta} \tau(\delta(\delta + t^2(b + \delta + t)^{-1} - t^2(b + t)^{-1})) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Recall the identity $(b + \delta + t)^{-1} - (b + t)^{-1} = -(b + \delta + t)^{-1} \delta (b + t)^{-1}$. Using positivity of the trace with $\delta(b + \delta + t)^{-1} \delta \leq \delta(\delta + t)^{-1} \delta$ and $(b + t)^{-1} \leq t^{-1}$:

$$\tau(\delta(\delta + t^2(b + \delta + t)^{-1} - t^2(b + t)^{-1})) \geq \tau(\delta^2 - t\delta(\delta + t)^{-1}\delta) = \tau(\delta^3(\delta + t)^{-1}).$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46L51; 47A30.

Key words: Noncommutative L_p -spaces.

Integrating, we get the desired inequality $\tau(\delta(a^{1+\theta} - b^{1+\theta})) \geq \tau(\delta^{2+\theta})$.

Case 1.b: δ arbitrary with decomposition $\delta_+ - \delta_-$ into positive and negative parts. We reduce it to the previous case by introducing $\alpha = a + \delta_- = b + \delta_+$, so that $\alpha \geq a, b$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau((a-b)(a^{p-1} - b^{p-1})) &= \tau((a-\alpha)(a^{p-1} - \alpha^{p-1})) + \tau((a-\alpha)(\alpha^{p-1} - b^{p-1})) \\ &\quad + \tau((\alpha-b)(\alpha^{p-1} - b^{p-1})) + \tau((\alpha-b)(a^{p-1} - \alpha^{p-1})). \end{aligned}$$

The first and the third terms are bigger than $\tau(\delta_-^p)$ and $\tau(\delta_+^p)$ by Case 1.a. Hence it suffices to check that the two remaining terms are positive. We use again the integral formula (1) and $\delta_+\delta_- = 0$ and positivity of the trace

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(-\delta_-(\alpha^{p-1} - b^{p-1})) &= -c_\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} t^\theta \tau(\delta_-(\delta_+ + t^2(b + \delta_+ + t)^{-1} - t^2(b + t)^{-1})) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= c_\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} t^\theta t^2 \tau(\delta_-((b + t)^{-1} - (b + \delta_+ + t)^{-1})) \frac{dt}{t} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The last term is handled similarly.

Case 2: $p \geq 3$. First, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$, one easily checks by induction that we have the following identity

$$\tau((a-b)(a^{p-1} - b^{p-1})) = \tau(\delta((b + \delta)^{p-1-n} - b^{p-1-n})b^n) + \sum_{k=1}^n \tau(\delta(b + \delta)^{p-1-k} \delta b^{k-1}).$$

Let $n \geq 1$ be so that $p-1-n = 1+\theta$ with $\theta \in [0, 1[$. By positivity of the trace, we get

$$\tau((a-b)(a^{p-1} - b^{p-1})) \geq \tau(\delta((b + \delta)^{1+\theta} - b^{1+\theta})b^n) + \tau(\delta^2(b + \delta)^{p-2}).$$

By the same computations as above thanks to (1)

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\delta((b + \delta)^{1+\theta} - b^{1+\theta})b^n) &= c_\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} t^\theta \tau(\delta(\delta + t^2(b + \delta + t)^{-1} - t^2(b + t)^{-1})b^n) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= c_\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} t^\theta \tau(\delta(\delta - t^2(b + \delta + t)^{-1} \delta(b + t)^{-1})b^n) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\geq c_\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} t^\theta \tau(\delta(\delta - t \delta(b + t)^{-1})b^n) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= c_\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} t^\theta \tau(\delta^2 b(b + t)^{-1} b^n) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \tau(\delta^2 b^{n+\theta}) = \tau(\delta^2 b^{p-2}), \end{aligned}$$

where we used again positivity of the trace with $(b + \delta + t)^{-1} \leq t^{-1}$ and $0 \leq (b + t)^{-1} b^n$.

To conclude let $\mathcal{E}$ to be the conditional expectation onto the subalgebra $\mathcal{N} = \{\delta\}''$. As $\mathcal{N}$ is commutative, the Jensen inequality is valid; for any $\alpha \geq 1$ and $x \in \mathcal{M}^+$: $\mathcal{E}(x^\alpha) \geq (\mathcal{E}x)^\alpha$. With $\alpha = p-2 \geq 1$,

$$\tau(\delta^2 b^{p-2}) = \tau(\delta^2 \mathcal{E}(b^{p-2})) \geq \tau(\delta^2 (\mathcal{E}b)^{p-2}), \quad \tau(\delta^2 (b + \delta)^{p-2}) \geq \tau(\delta^2 (\mathcal{E}(b + \delta))^{p-2}).$$

But with the usual decomposition $\delta = \delta_+ - \delta_-$, as $a, b \geq 0$, $\mathcal{E}b \geq \delta_-$ and $\mathcal{E}(b + \delta) \geq \delta_+$. By commutativity of $\mathcal{N}$, we can conclude

$$\tau((a-b)(a^{p-1} - b^{p-1})) \geq \tau(\delta^2(\delta_-^{p-2} + \delta_+^{p-2})) = \tau(|\delta|^p).$$

□

We provide an alternative proof when $p \in [3, 4]$.

Denote by R_x and L_x the right and left multiplication operators by $x \in \mathcal{M}$ defined on all $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$). When $p = 2$, for any $x \in \mathcal{M}^{sa}$, the C^* -algebra generated in $\mathbb{B}(L_2(\mathcal{M}))$ by L_x and R_x is commutative and isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}(\sigma(x) \times \sigma(x))$ where $\sigma(x)$ is the spectrum of x .

Lemma 2.2. *For $p \geq 1$, the map f_p is Fréchet differentiable on $\mathcal{M}^{++}$. For $\mathcal{M}$ finite, the derivative is given by the formula in $L_2(\mathcal{M})$:*

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{M}^{++}, \forall h \in \mathcal{M}^{sa}, \quad D_x f_p(h) = p \int_0^1 (tL_x + (1-t)R_x)^{p-1}(h) dt.$$

Proof. Assume $x \geq 3\delta$ for some $\delta > 0$. Taking $h \in \mathcal{M}^{sa}$ with $\|h\| < \delta$, we may compute $f_p(x+h)$ using the holomorphic functional calculus by choosing a curve γ with index 1 surrounding the spectrum of x with $\gamma \subset \{z \mid \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$ and $\operatorname{dist}(\gamma, \sigma(x)) \geq 2\delta$:

$$(x+h)^p = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma} \frac{z^p}{z-(x+h)} dz$$

Hence

$$(x+h)^p - x^p = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma} z^p (z-(x+h))^{-1} h (z-x)^{-1} dz$$

It follows directly that f_p is Fréchet differentiable with derivative

$$D_x f_p(h) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma} z^p (z-x)^{-1} h (z-x)^{-1} dz = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma} z^p L_{(z-x)^{-1}} R_{(z-x)^{-1}}(h) dz.$$

It then suffices to check that the two formulas coincide when $\mathcal{M}$ is finite; as $\mathcal{M} \subset L_2(\mathcal{M})$, we do it for $h \in L_2(\mathcal{M})$. But in $\mathbb{B}(L_2(\mathcal{M}))$, this boils down to an equality in $\mathcal{C}(\sigma(x) \times \sigma(x))$ so that we need only to justify that

$$\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{+*}, \quad \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma} \frac{z^p}{(z-a)(z-b)} dz = p \int_0^1 (ta + (1-t)b)^{p-1} dt.$$

The above computations yield that the left-hand side is $\frac{a^p - b^p}{a-b}$ if $a \neq b$ and pa^{p-1} if $a = b$ which clearly coincide with the right-hand side. $\square$

Assuming $\mathcal{M}$ finite and $a = b + \delta, b \in \mathcal{M}^{++}$ as above, the alternative proof when $p \in [3, 4]$ relies on Lemma 2.2:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau((a-b)(a^{p-1} - b^{p-1})) &= p \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \tau\left(\delta \left(tL_{b+u\delta} + (1-t)R_{b+u\delta}\right)^{p-2}(\delta)\right) dt du \\ &= p \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \langle \delta, \left(tL_{b+u\delta} + (1-t)R_{b+u\delta}\right)^{p-2}(\delta) \rangle_{L_2(\mathcal{M})} dt du \end{aligned}$$

As $p-2 \in [1, 2]$, f_{p-2} is operator convex, so that for any $m \in \mathbb{B}(L_2(\mathcal{M}))^+$ and any projection $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbb{B}(L_2(\mathcal{M}))$, we have $\mathcal{E}m^{p-2}\mathcal{E} \geq (\mathcal{E}m\mathcal{E})^{p-2}$. We choose $\mathcal{E}$ to be the L_2 -conditional expectation onto the subalgebra generated by δ .

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta, \left(tL_{b+u\delta} + (1-t)R_{b+u\delta}\right)^{p-2}(\delta) \rangle_{L_2(\mathcal{M})} &= \langle \delta, \mathcal{E} \left(tL_{b+u\delta} + (1-t)R_{b+u\delta}\right)^{p-2} \mathcal{E}(\delta) \rangle_{L_2(\mathcal{M})} \\ &\geq \langle \delta, \left(t\mathcal{E}L_{b+u\delta}\mathcal{E} + (1-t)\mathcal{E}R_{b+u\delta}\mathcal{E}\right)^{p-2}(\delta) \rangle_{L_2(\mathcal{M})} \\ &= \langle \delta, \left(tL_{\mathcal{E}(b)+u\delta}\mathcal{E} + (1-t)R_{\mathcal{E}(b)+u\delta}\mathcal{E}\right)^{p-2}(\delta) \rangle_{L_2(\mathcal{M})} \\ &= \langle \delta, \left(tL_{\mathcal{E}(b)+u\delta} + (1-t)R_{\mathcal{E}(b)+u\delta}\right)^{p-2}(\delta) \rangle_{L_2(\mathcal{M})}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we have used that R_x and $\mathcal{E}$ commute if $x \in \delta''$. Tracking back the equalities, we obtain

$$\tau(\delta((b+\delta)^{p-1} - b^{p-1})) \geq \tau(\delta((\mathcal{E}(b) + \delta)^{p-1} - \mathcal{E}(b)^{p-1})) \geq \tau(|\delta|^p),$$

where the last inequality comes from the result in the commutative case.

Remark 2.3. We point out that, for $p \in]2, 3[$, the result cannot be reduced to the commutative case as in the alternative proof. Indeed, $t \mapsto t^{p-2}$ is operator concave and the first inequality right above reverses.

Remark 2.4. Let $\varphi : L_p(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow L_{p'}(\mathcal{M})$ be the duality map so that $\langle x, \varphi(x) \rangle_{L_p(\mathcal{M}), L_{p'}(\mathcal{M})} = \|x\|_p^p$ and $\|\varphi(x)\|_{p'} = \|x\|_p^{p-1}$. When restricted to $L_p(\mathcal{M})^+$, it is exactly f_{p-1} , so the result can be written as: for $a, b \in L_p(\mathcal{M})^+$

$$\langle a-b, \varphi(a) - \varphi(b) \rangle_{L_p(\mathcal{M}), L_{p'}(\mathcal{M})} \geq \|a-b\|_p^p.$$

In this form, the inequality extends to general L_p -spaces in the sense of Haagerup, see [5, 2] for the arguments.

Corollary 2.5. *Let $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be a semifinite von Neumann algebra and $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a τ -preserving conditional expectation, then for all $p \geq 2$ and $x \in L_p(\mathcal{M})^+$,*

$$(2) \quad \|x - \mathcal{E}x\|_p \leq \|x\|_p.$$

Proof. Apply the above theorem with $a = x$ and $b = \mathcal{E}x$, as $\tau((x - \mathcal{E}x)(\mathcal{E}x)^{p-1}) = 0$, the Hölder inequality gives:

$$\|x - \mathcal{E}x\|_p^p \leq \tau((x - \mathcal{E}x)x^{p-1}) \leq \|x - \mathcal{E}x\|_p \|x\|_p^{p-1}.$$

□

Remark 2.6. The inequality (2) does not hold for $p < 2$; a counterexample with $\mathcal{M} = \ell_\infty^2$ can be found in [3]. There, a slight extension of (2) is given; one can replace $\mathcal{E}$ by any positive contractive projection $\mathcal{C}$ on $L_p(\mathcal{M})$.

As explained in [3], the main inequality applies more generally to semigroups.

Corollary 2.7. *Let $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be a semifinite von Neumann algebra and $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a trace preserving unital positive strongly continuous semigroup on $\mathcal{M}$. For $\lambda > 0$, let $R_\lambda = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T_t dt$ be its resolvent. Then for all $p \geq 2$, $\lambda > 0$ and $x \in L_p(\mathcal{M})^+$,*

$$\|x - \lambda R_\lambda x\|_p \leq \|x\|_p.$$

Proof. We proceed as in Corollary 2.5. We apply Theorem 2.1 with $a = x$ and $b = \lambda R_\lambda x$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - \lambda R_\lambda x\|_p^p &\leq \tau((x - \lambda R_\lambda x)x^{p-1}) - \tau((x - \lambda R_\lambda x)(\lambda R_\lambda x)^{p-1}) \\ &\leq \|x - \lambda R_\lambda x\|_p \|x\|_p^{p-1} - \tau((x - \lambda R_\lambda x)(\lambda R_\lambda x)^{p-1}). \end{aligned}$$

To conclude, it suffices to note that $\tau((x - \lambda R_\lambda x)(R_\lambda x)^{p-1}) \geq 0$.

It can be checked by approximations thanks to the resolvent formula: $x - \lambda R_\lambda x = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t(1 - tR_t)R_\lambda x$. Indeed, recall that tR_t is positive unital and trace preserving and hence a contraction on L_p so that

$$\tau(tR_t(R_\lambda x)(R_\lambda x)^{p-1}) \leq \|R_\lambda x\|_p^p \quad \text{and} \quad \tau((t(1 - tR_t)R_\lambda x)(R_\lambda x)^{p-1}) \geq 0.$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] Rajendra Bhatia. *Matrix analysis*, volume 169 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [2] Uffe Haagerup, Marius Junge, and Quanhua Xu. A reduction method for noncommutative L_p -spaces and applications. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 362(4):2125–2165, 2010.
- [3] Mustapha Mokhtar-Kharroubi. Contractivity theorems in real ordered Banach spaces with applications to relative operator bounds, ergodic projections and conditional expectations. Preprint, <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01148968>.
- [4] Gilles Pisier and Quanhua Xu. Non-commutative L^p -spaces. In *Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces*, Vol. 2, pages 1459–1517. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2003.
- [5] Éric Ricard. Hölder estimates for the noncommutative Mazur maps. *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 104 (2015), no. 1, 37–45.

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES NICOLAS ORESME, UNIVERSITÉ DE CAEN NORMANDIE, 14032 CAEN CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: eric.ricard@unicaen.fr